

Professional Poster Rules and Criteria for Registered & Student Technologists

Eligibility

- Any registered technologist or student technologist, who is a member of the OSRT in good standing **at the time of application and at the time of the Annual Meeting**, shall be eligible to compete in the Poster Competition.
- Editorial Review Board members are not eligible to compete in the Poster Competition. These individuals may submit non-competitive posters.
- All posters must be original work, prepared by the applicant(s) and not previously presented/displayed at an Ohio Society of Radiologic Technologists' Annual Meeting.
- Student or Technologist may only submit or be a participant on one poster.
- Upon receipt and acceptance of the completed application form, a notice of acknowledgement or ineligibility will be communicated by the Honors and Awards Committee via e-mail, to the primary applicant listed on the application.
- It is the individuals' responsibility to know and follow all rules for competition.
- **All application materials must be submitted by February 1 via the OSRT website.**

Student Eligibility Requirements

To compete as a student technologist, each applicant must:

- Be enrolled in an approved medical imaging or radiologic science program at the time of application.
- Be an OSRT member in good standing at the time of submission of this application for competition. If you need to join OSRT, click the Join OSRT button on the OSRT's Website and complete the electronic membership process.
- Be present at the OSRT Annual Meeting.
- If any individual listed on the application does not meet eligibility requirements the poster will be considered ineligible and disqualified.

Registered Technologist Eligibility Requirements

To compete as a registered technologist, each applicant must:

- Be an active OSRT member in good standing at the time of submission of this application for competition. If you need to join OSRT, click the Join OSRT button on the OSRT's Website and complete the electronic membership process.
- Hold active registry or certification and be in good standing with the ARRT, ARDMS, or NMTCB at the time of application.
- Be present at the OSRT Annual Meeting.
- If any individual listed on the application does not meet eligibility requirements the poster will be considered ineligible and disqualified.

Liability

It is agreed that the presenter(s) is/are responsible for his/her own poster, and the OSRT is not liable for loss or damage. The OSRT will take all reasonable precautions to assure the safety of the posters. However, it is the presenter's responsibility to maintain the security of valuables.

Submission Categories

- Registered and Student Technologists may submit a poster under either the clinical application or research category.

Poster Rules

- The OSRT will provide a table for the poster display
- The poster shall not exceed 4' high x 4' wide and must be self-standing on a table or supported by an easel. Exhibitors must bring their own easel, one will not be provided. Displays not conforming to these specifications will be disqualified.
- Exhibitors may set up their presentation during the following times:
 - Between 5 - 7 pm Thursday evening.
 - Between 7 - 8 am Friday morning.
- Posters must be dismantled by 5 pm on Saturday.
- Exhibitors may work collaboratively on a poster; however, collaboration is limited to no more than **2** individuals per presentation.
- Identification: **Poster must include presenter's name(s) and may include institutional affiliation and**

advisor's name at any location on the poster.

- HIPAA privacy rules and regulations apply to all posters.
- Subject matter for the poster should be pertinent to radiologic technology either in clinical application or research categories.
- A list of references from peer-reviewed journals that were used in preparing the poster must be typed, 12 point font, Times New Roman, double-spaced, and follow the reference style specified in the *American Medical Association Manual of Style* on a separate piece of paper on the front or back of the poster. The Honors and Awards Committee will disqualify any poster that is not correctly referenced.

Judging Criteria—See Poster Judging Critique Sheets

Posters must score a minimum of 80% or greater on the critique sheet to be eligible for an award.

100-94% eligible for 1st place

100-88% eligible for 2nd place

100-80% eligible for 3rd place

In the event of a tie for 1st place, 2nd place will be dropped and the next award will be 3rd place. In the event of a tie for 2nd place, 3rd place will be dropped. In event of a tie for 3rd place, it shall be at the discretion of the Honors and Awards Committee on how the tie will be handled.

(See Poster Judging Critique Sheets on the following 2 pages)

OSRT POSTER JUDGING CRITIQUE SHEETS: Clinical Applications Poster

	1	2	3	4	Total
Organization	The information is scant and appears to be disorganized	Information is organized, but missing some sections or paragraphs are not well-constructed and missing subheadings, or details	Information is organized, contains required sections, but paragraphs are not well-constructed or poster is missing subheadings, or much of the details	Information is very organized, contains required sections with well-constructed paragraphs, subheadings, & supporting details	
Current or Future Uses of Clinical Application	No current or future clinical applications are discussed	Current or future uses are mentioned briefly without much explanation or no examples used	Current or future uses are discussed, but difficult to follow or may have few examples	Current or future uses are clearly explained and includes examples	
Graphics	Unnecessary graphics or no graphics to support text	1-2 graphics support text	3 graphics support text	All graphics explain and reinforce text	
Mechanics	Poster has four or more misspellings and/or grammatical errors	Poster has three misspellings and/or grammatical errors	Poster has no more than two misspellings and/or grammatical errors	Poster has no misspellings or grammatical errors	
Technical Accuracy	3 or more pieces of information are listed incorrectly or inaccurately labeled	2 pieces of information are listed incorrectly or inaccurately labeled	1 piece of information is listed incorrectly or inaccurately labeled	All pieces of information are listed correctly and all images are accurately labeled	
Quality of Sources	More than half of the sources are not from peer-reviewed journals	Up to half of the sources are not from peer-reviewed journals	One source is not from a peer-reviewed journal	All sources are from peer-reviewed journals	
Originality, Creativity & Effort	Concept is lacking creativity it does not demonstrate a new position, or technique, or principle or an improvement or new application to an existing one. Shows no effort	Concept is acceptable. It loosely demonstrates a new position, technique, or principle or an improvement or new application to an existing one. Shows minimal effort	Concept is creative, engaging, or well done. It demonstrates a new position, technique, or principle or an improvement or new application to an existing one. Shows some effort	Concept is exceptionally creative, engaging, or well done. It demonstrates a new position, technique, or principle or an improvement or new application to an existing one. Shows a great deal of effort	
Subject Knowledge	Presenter does not have grasp of information; cannot answer questions about subject	Presenter is uncomfortable with information and/or is able to answer only rudimentary questions	Presenter is at ease with expected answers to all questions, but fails to elaborate	Presenter demonstrates full knowledge (more than required) by answering all questions with explanations and elaboration	
Elocution	Presenter mumbles, incorrectly pronounces terms, and speaks too quietly	Presenter's voice is low. Presenter incorrectly pronounces terms	Presenter's voice is clear. Presenter pronounces most words correctly	Presenter uses a clear voice and correct, precise pronunciation of terms	
					<u> </u> /36

OSRT POSTER JUDGING CRITIQUE SHEETS: Research Poster

	1	2	3	4	Total
Organization	The information is scant and appears to be disorganized	Information is organized, but missing some sections or paragraphs are not well-constructed and missing subheadings, or details	Information is organized, contains required sections, but paragraphs are not well-constructed or poster is missing subheadings, or much of the details	Information is very organized, contains required sections with well-constructed paragraphs, subheadings, & supporting details	
Research Method	Less than 3 of the five sections included	Three of the five sections included	Four of the five sections included	Follows research method with the following sections: an introduction including hypothesis or problem statement, literature review, methods, results, and conclusions	
Educational Value of Research	Value of research is not demonstrated	Value of research is mentioned briefly without much explanation	Value of research discussed, but difficult to follow	Value of research is clearly explained, including examples	
Graphics	Unnecessary graphics or no graphics to support text	1-2 graphics support text	3 graphics support text or	All graphics explain and reinforce text	
Mechanics	Poster has four or more misspellings and/or grammatical errors	Poster has three misspellings and/or grammatical errors	Poster has no more than two misspellings and/or grammatical errors	Poster has no misspellings or grammatical errors	
Technical Accuracy	3 or more pieces of information are listed incorrectly or inaccurately labeled	2 pieces of information are listed incorrectly or inaccurately labeled	1 piece of information is listed incorrectly or inaccurately labeled	All pieces of information are listed correctly and all images are accurately labeled	
Statistical Analysis	There is no statistical analysis	The statistical analysis has more than 1 inaccuracy and is not concise	The statistical analysis has 1 inaccuracy or is not concise	The statistical analysis is accurate and concise	
Quality of Sources	More than half of the sources are not from peer-reviewed journals	Up to half of the sources are not from peer-reviewed journals	One source is not from a peer-reviewed journal	All sources are from peer-reviewed journals	
Originality & Effort	Poster does not contribute to a higher level of understanding of diagnostic or therapeutic research and demonstrates a low level of effort	Poster loosely contributes to a higher level of understanding of diagnostic or therapeutic research. Shows minimal effort	Poster is engaging, or well done. It demonstrates a higher level of understanding of diagnostic or therapeutic research. Shows effort	Concept is exceptionally engaging, or well done and it demonstrates a higher level of understanding of diagnostic or therapeutic research and shows a great deal of effort	
Subject Knowledge	Presenter does not have grasp of information; cannot answer questions about subject	Presenter is uncomfortable with information and/or is able to answer only rudimentary questions	Presenter is at ease with expected answers to all questions, but fails to elaborate	Presenter demonstrates full knowledge (more than required) by answering all questions with explanations and elaboration	
Elocution	Presenter mumbles, incorrectly pronounces terms, and speaks too quietly	Presenter's voice is low. Presenter incorrectly pronounces terms	Presenter's voice is clear. Presenter pronounces most words correctly	Presenter uses a clear voice and correct, precise pronunciation of terms	
					/44