

April 11, 2011

Dear Mr. Powers,

I am writing this letter in representation of the OSRT and Educators from Ohio, Michigan, Illinois and Indiana. A group of 28 radiography educators met on Friday, Feb. 25, 2011 at Kent State, Stark Campus in the middle of a blizzard [©]. There were originally 43 educators registered, but obviously weather was an issue. The group reviewed the ASRT Radiography draft curriculum line by line and wants to commend the ASRT and Curriculum Committee for a job well done. The completed document is attached and below are just a few bullet points where there was concern or no consensus:

- Significantly strong support of the "Optional Section" for flexibility in teaching what may be common to communities of interest.
- Strongly in support of film screen being moved.
- Not sure why CT was moved after it was mandated previously. Consensus was to keep in current and future curricula.
- Suggest since film screen was optional, move references to density to optional section as well.
- Group was divided in regards to placement of the objectives. About 50% preferred them directly in the corresponding section and the rest preferred them in the end.

It has definitely been an honor and privilege to serve the ASRT and the profession in this capacity. The OSRT and participating educators extend their thanks to the ASRT for the opportunity to serve the profession of radiologic technology and ultimately all our students and most importantly, our patients!

Sincerely,

Juica Leggett

Tricia Leggett, DHEd., R.T.(R)(QM)