## OSRT Education and Research Foundation Rubric for Case Study Poster

Name	Total Score	/36 =	%	Title	 
Date					

Digital Professional Case Study Poster. *In accordance with HIPAA, all patient information must be removed from images.* Failure to remove all patient information will result in disqualification of submission.

Deficient	Limited	Adequate	Proficient	Excellent
`	· · ·	<u> </u>	( 1 )	(8 pts)
			•	Comprehensively
				explained the pathology's
				radiographic appearance
	1	1		and pathophysiology to
are missing or incomplete	missing or incomplete	missing or incomplete		include the imaging
			however, 1 detail is	modality, radiographic
			missing or incomplete	findings, pertinent
				anatomy, patient history
				& symptoms, and
				pathology location
Provided inadequate	Provided limited	Provided limited	Provided detailed	Provided detailed
information	information from 2 of the	information from all 3 listed	information from 2 of	information from
	3 listed sources	sources	the 3 listed sources	radiologist's report,
				patient's radiographic
				examination requisition,
				and the patient's chart
Deficient	Limited	Adequate	Proficient	Excellent
(0 pts)	(1pts)	(2 pts)	(3 pts)	(4 pts)
4 or more inaccuracies	3 inaccuracies	2 inaccuracies	1 inaccuracy	Complete accuracy of the
				content with no errors
4 or more items either not	3 items either not	2 items either not defined,	1 item either not	Consistently used
defined, misspelled, or	defined, misspelled, or	misspelled, or grammatically	defined, misspelled, or	professional terms,
grammatically incorrect.	grammatically incorrect.	incorrect.	grammatically incorrect.	unfamiliar terms clearly
				defined with no
				misspellings or
				grammatical errors.
No criteria met	1 out of 4 criteria met.	2 out of 4 criteria met	3 out of 4 criteria met	Neat, orderly, and
				pleasing to the eye.
				50/50 layout of text and
				white space.
	Failed to explain radiographic appearance and/or pathophysiology and/or 4 or more details are missing or incomplete  Provided inadequate information  Deficient (0 pts)  4 or more inaccuracies  4 or more items either not defined, misspelled, or grammatically incorrect.	Failed to explain radiographic appearance and/or pathophysiology and/or 4 or more details are missing or incomplete  Provided inadequate information  Provided inadequate information  Provided inadequate information  Provided limited information from 2 of the 3 listed sources  Provided (1pts)  4 or more items either not defined, misspelled, or grammatically incorrect.    Q pts   Capts   Explained the pathology's radiographic appearance and pathophysiology however, 3 details are missing or incomplete    Provided limited information from 2 of the 3 listed sources   Capts   Capts	Failed to explain radiographic appearance and/or pathophysiology and/or 4 or more details are missing or incomplete  Provided inadequate information  Provided information  Provided limited information from 2 of the 3 listed sources  Provided (1pts)  Provided (1pts)  Provided limited information from 2 of the 3 listed sources  Provided (1pts)  Adequate (2 pts)  4 or more items either not defined, misspelled, or grammatically incorrect.	Copts   Copt

Inclusion of Content	Included all but 4 (or more) of the required areas	Included all but 3 of the required areas	Included all but 2 of the required areas	Included all but 1 of the required areas	Included all required areas: title; overview of pathology; case study with methods of diagnosis, treatment methods, demographics, pathology appearance and identification, exam modification (if applicable), and follow up images/information.
Accuracy of AMA or APA citations and references	4 or more citation/reference error	3 citation/reference errors	2 citation/reference errors	1 citation/reference error	Complete accuracy of citations and references with no errors

Comments:	 		